Atrochromadora n. gen. = Chromadoropsis Wieser nec Filipjev

Since Chromadoropsis Wieser 1954 is preoccupied by Chromadoropsis Filipjev 1918 a new name had to be found for the genus with the type species C. parva. Some of the problems concerning this genus have been mentioned in Wieser (1954b), but more have arisen. The distinguishing characters of this genus I held to be the circularly spiral but indistinct amphids (text figure 1) and the dark but homogeneous cuticle. These characters hold for the type, C. parva, but for the other species I suspect the amphids to be more of the type found in Chromadora and Chromadorina, that is, oval- or loop-shaped (text figure 2).

9 (1)

Text figure 1 Text figure 2

If this is true still another genus should be established for these species, distinguished from *Chromadora* and *Chromadorina* by the dark cuticle (this is a good character although it is difficult to represent in figures) and by the lateral differentiation that is either irregular or consists of 2 (not 4) longitudinal rows. In Wieser (1954b, pp. 112, 114), I erroneously held that in A. *microlaima* there are 4 longitudinal rows.

A key to the three species belonging to this group reads as follows:

- 1 Lateral differentiation regular, consisting of 2 longitudinal rows; 10-15 preanal papillae.
- 2 Cephalic setae 75 per cent of the head diameter long; 12-15 papillae:

A. microlaima (De Man)

2' Cephalic setae 40 per cent of the head diameter long; 10 papillae:

A. obscura n. sp.

Lateral differentiation irregular; 8 preanal papillae:A. dissoluta (Wieser)

For the time being I shall keep these three species in the genus Atrochromadora. Besides them only the type, A. parva, with spiral though indistinct amphids and without papillae belongs to this genus.