If S. communis really is the male of S. tenuicaudata of which both BASTIAN and DE MAN (1907) have described only females, then it is impossible to include in the same species the specimens classified as S. tenuicaudata by STEKHOVEN (1943). The latter have much more in common with S. cupida to which species they, accordingly, will be referred. Even then, S. tenuicaudata remains doubtful.