Desmoscolex max Timm, 1970 (Fig. 3 C-D)

The female specimen from the Moçambique Channel is compared with the type specimens (T_{IMM} , 1970 : 26-27) and other specimens of *D. max*, respectively from a coral and shell beach, Galapagos Islands, Ecuador and from Antarctica (Scott Base, -535 m depth; Hut Point, -457 m depth) and with type specimens of *Desmoscolex proboscis* Lorenzen, 1972, from a sandy beach at Sylt, Denmark.

MATERIAL : $1 \Leftrightarrow (\text{slide RIT34}).$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Measurements}: \textit{Female} \ (n=1): L=275, \ \text{hd}=26 \ \times \ 12, \ \text{cs}=32, \ \text{sd}_1=24, \ \text{sd}_3=22, \\ \text{sd}_5=21, \ \text{sd}_7=22, \ \text{sd}_9=21, \ \text{sd}_{11}=23, \ \text{sd}_{13}=30, \ \text{sd}_{16}=32; \ \text{sv}_1=16, \ \text{sv}_4=14, \ \text{sv}_8=15, \\ \text{sv}_{12}=14, \ \text{sd}_{14}=15, \ \text{sv}_{15}=17, \ \text{sv}_{17}=17, \ \text{oes}=52, \ \text{t}=57, \ \text{tmr}=37, \ \text{tmrw}=11, \ (\text{tmrw})=7.5. \end{array}$

Discussion

The female specimen from the Moçambique Channel largely agrees with D. max and D. proboscis; only a few variations were observed :

- the arrangement of the somatic setae in the female : sub-dorsal, right side 1 3 5 7 9 11 13 16 = 8; left side 1 3 5 7 9 1 11 13 16 = 8 - sub-ventral, right side 1 2 4 6 8 - 12 14 15 17 = 9; left 1 2 1 4 6 8 - 12 14 15 17 = 9, differs from *D. max* in the absence of sub-ventral setae on main ring 10 and from *D. proboscis* in the presence of sub-ventral setae on main ring 15;

- the head is obviously elongated i.e. twice as long as wide, instead of equally long and wide as in the type specimens of both other species;

— the hairy cephalic setae are longer : $32 \mu m$ against $22 \mu m$ in *D. max* (holotype female) and $24 \mu m$ in *D. proboscis* (paratype female);

- the bipartite amphids are somewhat longer, extending to the anterior end of main ring 2 instead of main ring 1 in both other species;

- the terminal ring ends on a longer naked fine spinneret;

— the sub-dorsal setae on main rings 13 and 16 are elongated as in D. max, but differ from D. proboscis with only the setae on main ring 16 elongated ;

- the differentiation in structure (not in measurements) between the sub-dorsal and sub-ventral setae is more distinct in both species compared with, than in the female from the Moçambique Channel.

D. max and D. proboscis are closely related species, only distinguished from each other by a difference in the arrangement of the somatic setae (without sub-ventral setae on main rings 10 and 15 in D. proboscis, present in D. max) and by the length of the spicules (39 μ m in D. max (types), 35-43 μ m in specimens from Antarctica against 25-27 μ m in D. proboscis). The difference in position of the terminal pair of somatic setae (see LORENZEN, 1972 : 315 ; and TIMM, 1970, fig. 28 of a female) i.e. sd₁₇ in sub-dorsal position is not valid. A photograph of a female specimen (TIMM, 1970, plate 2 fig. 14), a redescription of D. max in TIMM (1978) and a study of the type specimens of D. max shows the terminal pair of somatic setae in sub-ventral position as in D. proboscis.

CONCLUSION : D. max, D. proboscis and the female specimen from the Moçambique Channel closely resemble each other. Their mutual distinction lies in the number of sub-ventral somatic setae : 10 (D. max), 9 (female found), 8 (D. proboscis). However, they can be distinguished from all other species of the genus by the arrangement of the somatic setae i.e. with a pair of sub-ventral setae on main rings 1 and 17.

Taking into account the special arrangement of the sub-ventral somatic setae, the similar general habit with elongated head-shape and bipartite amphids, they all belong to the same species. Consequently I consider D. proboscis synonymous with D. max. TIMM (1978) considered this synonymy as probable.



Desmoscolex max Timm, 1970; C, entire female specimen; D, surface view of head (female).