

We are of the opinion that the mentioned subgenera have the rank of genera, because the differences in the dentition, in the implantation of the cephalic setae as well as in the genital armature are constant and cannot be considered as only specific differences.

23. *Enoplolaimus infantilis* DITLEVSEN 1930, p. 206 = *Mesacanthion infantile* (DITLEVSEN).