Chromadoropsis n.gen.

=Chromadorina Filipjev pt.

Three solid teeth, subequal in size. Amphids circularly spiral but indistinct, describing somewhat more than one spire. Cuticular ornamentation homogeneous but dark; lateral differentiation present, regular or irregular, in the former case consisting of 4 longitudinal rows of dots the two inner rows standing out more prominently than the external ones. Bulb set off, oval. Supplements present or absent.

Type: C. parva

As already mentioned the type-species of Filipjev's genus Chromadorina, C. obtusa (=laeta), possesses normal loop-shaped amphids which feature it has in common with all species of Chromadora and Prochromadora. However, two of the species referred to Chromadorina by Filipjev and Micoletzky respectively proved to be provided with genuinely spiral amphids distinct from the loop-shaped amphids in the genera mentioned above. Based on these two species, and designating C. parva as the new type, the genus Chromadoropsis represents one portion of Chromadorina Fil. Contrary to what I held in an earlier paper (1951) C. microlaina belongs to this genus.

- A. No supplements. Teeth very slender.
 - $C.~parva~({
 m De~Man~1893}){=}Spilophora~p.$
 - =Spilophora antarctica Cobb 1914a
- B. Supplements present. Teeth stouter.
 - 1. Lateral differentiation regular, consisting of 4 longitudinal rows. 12—15 supplements:
 - C. microlaima (DE MAN 1889)=Chromadora m.
 - 2. Lateral differentiation irregular, consisting of larger and more widely spaced dots. 8 supplements:
 - C. dissoluta n.sp.